

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/777,898	02/13/2004	Norbert Rilitz	P24886	6098
7055	7590 01/06/2006		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			ADAMS, GREGORY W	
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3652	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Exercises for the maybe available under the provincies of 37 cFt 1-1360, into event, mower, may a reply be timely filed  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maintain statutory paids will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or excended period for reply will, by statute, excent he application (5) study (5) us 0.5 (5) 133.  Any reply received by the Critical size than times months after the maining date of this communication, even if Emery filed, may reduce any events a period time as application is of CPR 1.74(6).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Gregory W. Adams ASHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ASHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If NO period for reply is peofided above, the maximum situation precise was a people of the people of the precise of the people of the communication.  If NO period for reply is peofided above, the maximum situation precise was a people of the people of the people of the communication of the people of the people of the communication.  If NO period for reply is people above, the maximum situation precise will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failur to sept a people of the people of the communication of the people of the communication, which is a people of the people of the communication, which is a people of the communication.  ADDIS Communication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s)		10/777,898	RILITZ ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensive to the may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 11-380. In one event, however, may a reply be timely flad  If NO priod for reply is appelled above, the maximum statutory priorities will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mainting date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the sid or extended period for regive this, yet abusive, each application (5) 15 U S C 5 1303. Any reply received by the difficulty of the maximum statutory priorities will expire sIX (8) MONTHS from the mainting date of this communication.  Failure to report within the application of the mainting date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any vierturely particular time registering. Set of YER 17-780.  Status  Status  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filled on	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ***architecture**  **architecture**  ***architecture**  **architecture**  ***architecture**  ***architecture**  **architecture**  **ar							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eaterdisors of time may be available under the provisions of 30 FPR 1.13(a). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failine to the by which the act or extended period for weight with the states, cause the application to become ABANDHED (18 LUS C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seared patient term adjustment. Sea 7 CFR 1.70(b).  Status  1)	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4  Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 35 and 36 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some Olean Note of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status						
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4  Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 35 and 36 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of Normal Provinty documents have been received. 2  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Tointsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 35 and 36 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to extraordinate and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.	·				
Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-38 is/are-pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 35 and 36 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed. 7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a   accepted or b   objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is .				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 35 and 36 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 35 and 36 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-34, 37 and 38 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of Polaritsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of Portatsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08)  9 ☐ Notice of Informati Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
6)  Claim(s) 1-34, 37 and 38 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.   Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:   1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>35 and 36</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Application Papers  9	i —						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  10  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
a) \[ All \] b) \[ Some * c) \[ None of: \]  1. \[ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. \]  2. \[ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \]  3. \[ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) \[ \sum \text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)} \]  2) \[ \sum \text{Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)} \]  3) \[ \sum \text{Interview Summary (PTO-413)} \]  Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \[ \sum  \]  5) \[ \sum \text{Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)} \]	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  9 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Solution (PTO-152)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						
7 4							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/13/04 &amp; 12/13/04</u> .	6) Other:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-34, 37 & 38 drawn to a device for stacking, classified in class
   414, subclass 796.
- II. Claims 35 & 36, drawn to a method of changing stacks, classified in class414, subclass 801.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case Invention I could be employed to feed panels to a panel saw machine as in US 6,481,954 to Benuzzi.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

A telephone call was made to Applicant's representative Mr. Neil F. Greenblum on November 9, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Neil F. Greenblum on November 9, 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute invention I, claims 1-34 & 37-38.

Claims 35-36 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention II, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the phone call of November 9, 2005. Applicant argued that the claims were not restrictable because claim 36 and claim 37 depended from claim 1. Claims 36 & 37 are independent claims written in approved shorthand format. This format is permitted so applicant would not have to reiterate all the structure of the other claims. Therefore, they are not considered dependent claims and do not rely on the other claims for patentability. Thus, the restriction is deemed proper.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim 35 & 36 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 .

CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

## Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: With respect to line 10, "stack" should be preceded by the word –collected—and with respect to lines 12,

Art Unit: 3652

13, 14 & 15, "stack" should be preceded by the word –pre-collected--. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 8-16, 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voss (US 5,664,767) in view of Meschi (US 5,292,223) (cited by applicant) and Deutschle et al. (US 20020084578) (cited by applicant).

With respect to claims 1, 8-16 & 31-32, Voss discloses a pallet, separating element 16, a holding device, 12 (or 11), separating table 8, forward guiding device 10, and rear guiding device 12.

Voss does not disclose holding elements arranged on a first crossbeam.

Deutschle et al. discloses holding elements in a first beam 52 such that upon separation between a collected stack and a pre-collected stack, a next sheet for a pre-collected stack will be drawn to a separating element 28. Deutschle further discloses a first crossbeam that moves vertically and horizontally, simultaneously with separating elements such that a separating table may be inserted. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Voss to include a holding element, as per the teachings of

Deutschle et al., such that during continuous stacking a pre-collected stack first sheet is attracted to a separating element.

Page 5

Voss does not comprise vertically movable guides. Meschi discloses telescoping guides 20 such that not just the most irregularly stacked paper-sheets may be aligned but all sheets. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the guides of Voss to include movable guides (i.e. structure which provides movement), as per the teachings of Meschi, to align both minor stacking alignment errors and major alignment error.

With respect to claims 2-4, Voss is adapted to handle paper, cardboard, foil, flexible material and rigid material from a cutter delivered on a feed belt.

With respect to claim 7, Voss discloses a planar separating table.

With respect to claims 33-34, Voss disclose high rigidity and modular arrangement.

3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voss (US 5,664,767) in view of Meschi (US 5,292,223), Deutschle et al. (US 20020084578) and Williams (US 2,957,691).

With respect to claim 6, Voss does not disclose a detector. Williams discloses a detector 60 to impart a tap or thrust to sheets whereby engagement of the same with a guide and create even piling. Col. 6. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Voss' rear stops to include a detector, as per the teachings of Williams, to create even piling. It is

noted that while Williams discloses a front stop having detector, the function of even piling applies to Voss' rear stops as well.

Page 6

Claims 17-28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voss (US 5,664,767) in view of Meschi (US 5,292,223) and Deutschle et al. (US 20020084578) and Thornton (US 4,162,649).

With respect to claim 17, Voss does not disclose a second crossbeam. Thornton discloses a first crossbeam 53 and a second crossbeam (indicated generally in FIG. 8 as reference character 6), with rails tying said crossbeams to keep successive sheets vertical, or nearly vertical during stacking and subsequent insertion of a separation table 53 between collected and pre-collected stacks. Col. 1-2. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Voss to include a second cross beam, as per the teachings of Voss, to keep stacks vertical during stacking and separation.

With respect to claim 18-26, Voss does not comprise vertically movable guides. Meschi discloses telescoping guides 20 such that not just the most irregularly stacked paper-sheets may be aligned but all sheets. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the guides of Voss to include movable guides (i.e. structure which provides movement), as per the teachings of Meschi, to align both minor stacking alignment errors and major alignment error.

With respect to claim 27-28, Voss does not disclose a moving first crossbeam. Deutschle et al. discloses a first crossbeam that moves "downward in the direction of arrow 74 [or 92]...simultaneously with downward movement of the stack separating finger 26..." as well as in a travel direction such that a separating table may be properly inserted between a collected stack and a pre-collected stack. Cols. 1-2; Col. 10. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Voss to include a movable first crossbeam, as per the teachings of Deutschle et al., for proper separating table insertion.

5. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voss (US 5,664,767) in view of Meschi (US 5,292,223) and Deutschle et al. (US 20020084578).

Voss discloses a pallet, a movable separating fingers 16, holding device 11, movable separating device 8, forward guiding device 10, and rear guiding device 12 which is adapted to stack sheets of paper, cardboard, foil, flexible material and rigid material from a cutter delivered on a feed belt.

Voss does not disclose holding elements arranged on a first cross-member. Deutschle et al. discloses holding elements in a first cross member 52 such that upon separation between a collected stack and a pre-collected stack, a next sheet for a pre-collected stack will be drawn to a separating element 28. Deutschle further discloses a first cross-member that moves vertically and horizontally, simultaneously with separating elements such that a separating table may be inserted. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Voss to include a holding element, as per the

Art Unit: 3652

teachings of Deutschle et al., such that during continuous stacking a pre-collected stack first sheet is attracted to a separating element.

Voss does not comprise vertically movable guides. Meschi discloses movable guiding devices such that not just the most irregularly stacked paper-sheets may be aligned but all sheets. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the guides of Voss to include movable guiding devices (i.e. structure which provides movement), as per the teachings of Meschi, to align both minor stacking alignment errors and major alignment error.

6. Claims 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voss (US 5,664,767) in view of Meschi (US 5,292,223) and Deutschle et al. (US 20020084578) and Thornton (US 4,162,649).

Voss discloses a movable separating fingers 16, movable separating device 8, and forward 10 and rear guiding devices 12 which is adapted to stack sheets of paper, cardboard, foil, flexible material and rigid material from a cutter delivered on a feed belt.

Voss does not disclose support elements arranged on a first cross-member.

Deutschle et al. discloses support elements in a first cross member 52 such that upon separation between a collected stack and a pre-collected stack, a next sheet for a pre-collected stack will be drawn to a separating element 28. Deutschle further discloses a first cross-member that moves vertically and horizontally, simultaneously with separating elements such that a separating table may be inserted. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Voss to include a holding element, as per the

Application/Control Number: 10/777,898 Page 9

Art Unit: 3652

teachings of Deutschle et al., such that during continuous stacking a pre-collected stack first sheet is attracted to a separating element.

Voss does not comprise vertically movable guides. Meschi discloses movable guiding devices such that not just the most irregularly stacked paper-sheets may be aligned but all sheets. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the guides of Voss to include movable guiding devices (i.e. structure which provides movement), as per the teachings of Meschi, to align both minor stacking alignment errors and major alignment error.

Voss does not disclose guide devices connecting a first cross-member and a second cross-member. Thornton discloses a first cross-member 53 and a second cross-member (indicated generally in FIG. 8 as reference character 6), with rails tying said cross-members to keep successive sheets vertical, or nearly vertical during stacking and subsequent insertion of a separation table 53 between collected and pre-collected stacks. Col. 1-2. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Voss to include guide devices connecting a first cross-member and a second cross-member, as per the teachings of Voss, to keep stacks vertical during stacking and separation.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 29-30 are allowed.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/777,898 Page 10

Art Unit: 3652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory W. Adams whose telephone number is (571) 272-8101. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 8:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

**GWA**